

BOARD ACTION  
May 21, 2024

APPROVED	<b>Tab 1.</b> Request for approval of the minutes of the BCC Meetings of March 26, 2024 (Regular Meeting) and April 2, 2024 (Regular Meeting).	COONEY
PROCLAMATION /APPROVED	<b>Tab 2.</b> Approval and presentation of <b>Proclamation 2024-24</b> designating May 19-25, 2024 as National Emergency Medical Services Week.	CARPENTER
	<p><b><u>CITIZEN QUESTION AND COMMENT PERIOD</u></b></p> <p>Ms. Alison Yurko, counsel for a Lake County property owner, commented that she had sent a letter to Ms. Melanie Marsh, County Attorney, expressing concerns about the proposed Grand Oaks Holding LLC annexation, which was currently an equestrian facility. She relayed that the residents did not believe that the property was urban in concept and lent itself to an interlocal service boundary agreement (ISBA) type of non-contiguous annexation. She indicated concerns that there was no joint planning agreement (JPA), and said that the Town of Lady Lake had refused it and was proposing this annexation. She expressed concerns about the traffic impacts that this would have when they created 874 new residential units, and opined that there would be changes to the nonresidential uses, which was not taken into account in the traffic study. She opined that once the property was annexed, the Town would be able to do whatever it wanted in terms of future land use approvals without any meaningful commitments to the County for the road system. She also opined that there was a basis to contest this annexation because there were two areas that would be resulting pockets, and noted that some of her clients lived within those pockets. She remarked that according to the ISBA, the Town could annex non-contiguous properties, which this was; however, they could not override the commitment for reasonable compactness. She relayed that there was a requirement in the Florida Statutes, which said that there must be a prerequisite Comprehensive Plan (Comp Plan) amendment with comments from the Florida Department of Community Affairs (FDCA), and that it was not clear if there had been a Comp Plan amendment.</p> <p>Mr. Tom Nash, a resident of Griffin Avenue in the Town of Lady Lake, stated that he was a retired Public Works Director from south Florida with 30 years of service in the field. He related that the Town of Lady Lake had annexed several areas of land over the previous five years, which he opined would systematically erase the rural agricultural atmosphere in the area. He opined that the rezoning of all these properties would add about 13,000 additional residents to a town of 17,000, and noted that this did not include the Grand Oaks proposal or consider development within the other municipal jurisdictions near the Town of Lady Lake. He opined that the majority of roadways within the five mile radius of Grand Oaks were two-lane country roads, and that some of the highly traveled and increasingly dangerous roads</p>	

used in this area were over the Marion County line and were not even included in the traffic study. He relayed that he had reviewed the traffic study for the Grand Oaks plan, which showed 7,760 external trips per day, and that the report indicated that there would be no impact to the roadway level of service. He wondered how the studies showed that the roadways were at adequate levels of service when those driving on the roads were faced with a very different reality, and opined that the mitigations that the developers were required to meet would not cover the millions of dollars needed to upgrade the roads. He also opined that this left the residents of unincorporated Lake County paying for road improvements that were currently not planned, and that the increased populations due to municipal annexations for high density developments demanded these improvement. He remarked that the State and local governments had policies and environmental conservation groups in place to protect water, wildlife, and land resources; however, these resources did not seem to generate more than a mitigation payment, relocation, or increased thresholds of use. He opined that the Grand Oaks property was a beautiful treasure of historic trees and wildlife that deserved more than destruction for the establishment of a small town on this property surrounded by rural land. He opined that personnel, such as police, medical service providers, and teachers were facing critical shortages; however, these were not included in concurrency equations. He asked the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) to utilize their authority to protect their property rights and contest this annexation.

Mr. Andrei Nana, an attorney reading a letter for Ms. Lisa Berg, a resident in the Town of Lady Lake, stated that she had moved to Lake County two years prior and had found a home on Marion County Road in a rural area, and that they had purchased their home from Grand Oaks Holdings LLC. She opined that they were led to believe that they would be living in a rural area and did not want to live near an urban housing development; furthermore, since the Grand Oaks property was contiguous on three sides of their property, they did not want to be a pocket surrounded by development that changed their lifestyle. She opined that the agricultural and living conditions of this rural area would be destroyed by creating a high density urban area that would leave them surrounded as a pocket area, and she hoped that the BCC would adhere to the current statutes and policies to contest the proposed annexation and rezoning of their rural area.

Ms. Kathleen Farner, a resident of Lake County, remarked that she was opposed to the Grand Oaks proposal for the same reasons the BCC heard on a regular basis, and opined that the recommendations and decisions of the Town of Lady Lake did not represent them. She opined that vested rights ensured that neighbors and elected officials had no recourse on a site plan that met all of the Land Development Regulations (LDR), and that the site plan may not be like the conceptual plan that was in the application if the

property was annexed and rezoned. She expressed concerns about the annexation, and stated that it must be denied to stop this proposal. She recalled that in the previous year there was an effort by Commissioner Campione and the BCC to protect the rural areas in Lake County with the proposed Florida House Bill 1171, and that it had failed. She opined that over half of the Grand Oaks property was in the Emerald Marsh Rural Protection Area (RPA) and should have been protected from a high density development, noting that the application did not mention the amount of property located in the Emerald Marsh RPA or the acreage in the flood zone. She commented that the ISBA was to promote efficient effective service while balancing the desires of the community, and opined that their desires did not support a high density mobile home park on an enclave rural property in the middle of their properties. She relayed that the Town of Lady Lake voted unanimously to not renew the JPA with Lake County in 2023 because of the concerns of hampered growth and roads being passed off to municipalities without improvements, opining that this proposed development and other approved developments would impact the roads in a significant way. She asked that the BCC would contest this annexation through legal means, and opined that their community would begin a trend to save rural land.

Mr. Edward Prosienski, a resident of the City of Groveland, remarked that he would like to speak on Amendment 4, which was an amendment to the State of Florida Constitution that voters would vote on in November 2024. He related that the baby's heart started beating only five weeks after conception, and that they felt pain at 12 weeks old; additionally, they could live outside the womb, which was viability, at 23 weeks old. He mentioned that the current law, which was known as the heartbeat protection plan, was approved by the Florida Legislature and the Governor and allowed for abortions up to six weeks with exceptions for the woman's life, serious health problems, rape, incest, and human trafficking. He elaborated that it provided \$5 million for contraceptive educational programs and \$15 million for the care of the mother who gave birth, and that it provided that at least one parent must be involved in the decision to have an abortion, noting that Amendment 4 would eliminate all of that. He opined that Amendment 4 would change the State of Florida Constitution to allow unrestricted murder of babies up to the moment of birth for no reason other than the undefined term of health of the mother, and that it would make the State of Florida the destination for abortions. He relayed that it would allow an abortion if agreed upon by the mother and the healthcare provider, which did not have to be a doctor and could be the abortion provider. He opined that young mothers would be completely vulnerable to this because parents would not be there to intervene or to offer advice and solutions, and that parents would only be notified of their daughter's choice when it was too late to do anything about it; furthermore, he opined that trauma would later ensue when these mothers felt

the full weight of their decisions. He opined that this would allow the indiscriminate disposal of a human being, which was murder, and endanger a woman's physical and mental health, and that it seemed that people cared more about whales and gopher turtles than about human babies. He asked the Board to stand strong against this amendment and adopt a resolution, such as the resolution by the Republican Executive Committee (REC) or a similar resolution, to save the State of Florida, to save women, and to save future generations.

Ms. Connie Hurlburt, a resident of the City of Eustis, commented that she was in favor of a resolution on Amendment 4, and opined that it should not have slipped past the Florida Supreme Court. She opined that it should not be voted on by voters when they did not know what they would be voting for, and that there was ample proof that it was brought from outside of the State of Florida. She expressed concerns about transgender men in schools and issues in the voter rolls, and opined that the Board could either be part of the solution or part of the issue.

Ms. Marcia Marron, a resident of the City of Groveland, opined that the vote regarding Amendment 4 would be the last battle for life in the State of Florida. She said that she worked as the Executive Director of Life's Choices Women's Clinic, and that they could see the heartbeat 18 days after conception, opining that it did change a woman and a man. She opined that the title of Amendment 4, which was "Amendment to Limit Government Interference with Abortion," was deceptive, and that people were being told that this was an amendment about a woman's right to choose in the State of Florida. She related that over one million signatures were gathered for this initiative; however, she opined that they were gathered under deception because women already had the right to choose in the State of Florida, and that there were legislators that had been voted in that had given residents the heartbeat law. She pointed out that there were 58 categories of healthcare providers in the State of Florida, including the following: tattoo artists; 911 operators; orthotic fitter assistants; and abortionists, who she opined would be making money and would not turn anybody away. She asked the Board to adopt a resolution and to take a stand, not to tell people how to vote, but to tell people what Amendment 4 was, opining that citizens had the responsibility to look to their elected officials for information on what the issues were. She mentioned that regarding Amendment 4, there would be no informed consent, no reflection or waiting period, no health or safety regulations, no qualification requirements for abortionists or abortion clinics, no limit on late term abortions, no limit on dismemberment abortions, and no limit on aborting babies to harvest their organs.

Ms. Patty Kendrick, a resident of the City of Fruitland Park, asked the Board to adopt a resolution, such as the one by the REC, and relayed that Gilchrist

County had adopted one. She opined that the abortion movement was misleading because it implied that women had a right to an abortion because it would save lives; however, according to her research, the amount of abortions done because of rape and endangering the life of the mother were less than one percent, and that 74 percent of abortions, were done for no reason or for social reasons. She opined that it was worthy to address this situation instead of letting it go.

Ms. June Lang, a resident of Lake County, recalled that the terms safe, legal, and rare were applied to abortions many years ago, and opined that it was now termed healthcare and human rights and being used as a form of birth control and as a way to murder full term babies in some cases. She mentioned that the laws regarding abortion were given back to the States, and opined that this was where it belonged. She stated that the State of Florida's citizens and legislators made laws regarding abortion, opining that it was made to be safe, legal, and rare again. She opined that some felt that this was not good enough, and that they wanted people from all over the country to come to the State of Florida for Walt Disney World and abortions. She opined that Amendment 4 was being advertised as a way to limit government, and that even though she wanted to limit government, she had witnessed the deceptive petitions going around. She opined that Lake County residents did not want fully viable babies being aborted, and she urged the County to place this issue onto the agenda and let constituents and legislators know that the residents were heard and supported.

Ms. Tabatha Miller, a resident of the Yalaha area, stated that she was made aware of this meeting through the campaign for Mr. Bowen Kou, and that she was against Amendment 4. She opined that it was highly deceptive and made voters believe that it allowed regulation for abortion when it was more open ended. She opined that it did not take 18 days for a woman to make sure that she was not pregnant; however, it took 18 days to see a heartbeat. She relayed that she was against Amendment 4 because of its open availability, and opined that there should be restrictions.

Brother Steven Gerard Sidlovsky, a resident of Lorain, Ohio, remarked that Amendment 4 was similar to the State of Ohio's Issue 1, and opined that if Amendment 4 received more than 60 percent of the vote, it would authorize the death of over 65,000 innocent babies in the womb yearly in the State of Florida. He said that he had been invited by Mr. John Labriola, and opined that it would be very important to place this issue on the County's agenda and adopt a resolution to oppose Amendment 4. He opined that the baby was a person in the mother's womb, and that as a Franciscan lay brother, he asked Lake County to establish a charter and declare personhood, opining that this was what Ms. Nellie Gray wanted when she started the March for Life. He urged the Board to place a resolution opposing Amendment 4 on the agenda

and to pass it immediately.

Mr. Rick Carlins, a resident of Lake County, mentioned that at a prior BCC meeting, the Board had stated their personal convictions against Amendment 4 and about speaking out against it personally, opining that Commissioner Campione was in favor of a resolution. He asked that the Board would follow those convictions and make a statement. He pointed out that Gilchrist County had passed a resolution against Amendment 4, which he read from, noting that it encouraged all Florida voters to oppose it.

Ms. Katherine de Jongh, a resident of Lake County, relayed her understanding that a resident wishing the County to make a change in an ordinance would need to bring their request before the BCC during the public hearing. She stated that a certain item came before the Board in April 2024, and that there was no direction to make any changes to the wording; however, on the current day, there was an advertisement for this ordinance that had a change. She wondered how that happened, and noted that it was placed on the consent agenda, which was a way to fast-track a motion unless it was pulled for discussion. She opined that many topics of serious concern had been placed on the consent agenda, and that it was a way of stopping a civic process. She also opined that staff was directed to make this change prematurely, and that the rules that applied to residents enacting changes should also apply to the Board. She questioned why the Board's concerns were more important than the residents' concerns, and opined that all concerns should come before the public before being advertised as a changed ordinance.

Ms. Kristin Nelson, a resident of Lake County, said that she wanted to read the word of God, and opined that He was the answer to all of this, regarding Amendment 4. She read John 6:27-33 and Matthew 4:4 and opined that people did not just need food to sustain them physically, but they needed the word of God to sustain them spiritually. She opined that all babies went to heaven, and expressed gratitude for that. She then read John 3:3-6.

Mr. Mike Trainor, a resident of Lake County, stated that he was pro-life; however, he opined that if the County wanted to pass a resolution against Amendment 4, the words that encouraged all State of Florida voters to vote no on question four needed to be eliminated. He opined that as a governing body, the Board should not be encouraging constituents how to vote, and that the electorate did not have to be told how to vote. He also opined that to be a strong country, the people needed to be educated, involved, and concerned citizens, and that they should not wait for their governing body to tell them how to vote.

Ms. Jacqueline Arts, a resident of Lake County, opined that there should not

	<p>be a resolution telling the citizens of Lake County how to vote on Amendment 4, and that this was not about abortion only, as it was about women’s health and about women having the right to choose for themselves what to do with their bodies. She also opined that if women’s rights to choose for themselves were taken away, then someone else’s rights would be going next. She asked that the Board would not do this resolution.</p> <p>Ms. Miller spoke again regarding Amendment 4.</p>	
APPROVED	<p><b>Tab 3.</b> Approval of Clerk of the Circuit Court and Comptroller’s Consent Agenda (Items 1-4)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Notice is hereby provided of warrants paid prior to this meeting, pursuant to Chapter 136.06 (1) of the Florida Statutes, which shall be incorporated into the Minutes and filed in the Board Support Division of the Clerk's Office.</li> <li>2. Notice is hereby provided of having received the Southwest Florida Water Management District’s Annual Comprehensive Financial Report for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2023 and Annual Financial Report (AFR).</li> <li>3. Notice is hereby provided of having received the City of Clermont’s Annual Comprehensive Financial Report and the Clermont CRA Annual Comprehensive Financial Report for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2022.</li> <li>4. Notice is hereby provided of having received the Cascades at Groveland Community Development District Resolution 2024-06 approving the FY 2025 Proposed Budget.</li> </ol>	COONEY
APPROVED	<p><b>Tab 4.</b> Recommend approval of <b>Proclamation 2024-7</b> designating June 2024 as Tobacco - Free Parks Month in Lake County. There is no fiscal impact.</p>	BONILLA
APPROVED	<p><b>Tab 5.</b> Request from County Attorney to recommend approval for a waiver of conflict for Gray Robinson regarding its representation of the Lake County School Board with respect to the Vista Ridge and Round Lake Road Right of Way Agreement. There is no fiscal impact.</p>	MARSH
APPROVED	<p><b>Tab 6.</b> Request from County Manager to recommend approval to cancel the July 2, 2024, Board of County Commissioners Meeting. There is no fiscal impact.</p>	BARKER

APPROVED	<p><b>Tab 8.</b> Request from Human Resources and Risk Management to recommend approval:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. To utilize Contract 20-0904H with Rybek Construction, Inc. (Tavares, FL) for the repair and replacement of drywall, millwork, and painting; and</li> <li>2. To utilize Sourcewell Contract 080819-TFU with Tarkett USA Inc. for the replacement of carpet and vinyl flooring; and</li> <li>3. To approve the replacement of various furniture, fixtures, and equipment; and</li> <li>4. Of a budget transfer in the amount of \$1,096,510 from General Fund Economic Stabilization Reserves to General Fund Facilities Repair and Maintenance; and</li> <li>5. To authorize the Office of Procurement Services to execute all supporting documentation.</li> </ol> <p>The total estimated fiscal impact is \$1,468,200.05 (expenditure).          Commission District 3.</p>	KOVACS
APPROVED	<p><b>Tab 9.</b> Request from Code Enforcement to recommend approval to advertise an Ordinance Amending Chapter II, Lake County Code, Appendix E, Land Development Regulations, entitled Definitions, concerning Recreational Vehicles. There is no fiscal impact.</p>	STERN
APPROVED	<p><b>Tab 10.</b> Request from Planning and Zoning to recommend approval of <b>Resolution 2024-65</b> consenting to the Pacific Ace Community Development District's use of Special Powers under Section 190.012(2)(a) and (b), Florida Statutes. There is no fiscal impact.</p>	STERN
APPROVED	<p><b>Tab 11.</b> Request from Planning and Zoning to recommend approval:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. To advertise an Ordinance amending Chapter II, entitled Definitions, and Section 3.01.03, entitled Schedule of Permitted and Conditional Uses, Lake County Code, Appendix E, Land Development Regulations (LDR), concerning Floating Solar Facilities; and</li> <li>2. To advertise an Ordinance amending Section 22-19, Lake County Code, entitled Architectural standards applicable to residential waivers or deferrals, to permit Floating Solar Facilities in all zoning districts; and</li> <li>3. To authorize, if accepted by a majority plus one vote, to conduct the second Public Hearing of the proposed LDR Amendment Ordinance at 9:00 a.m., rather than at 5:05 p.m. as required by Section 125.66(5)(b)1, Florida Statutes.</li> </ol> <p>These amendments are required as a result of a Legislative change per HB 1411 (2022). There is no fiscal impact.</p>	STERN
APPROVED	<p><b>Tab 12.</b> Request from Emergency Management to recommend approval:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Of a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) for Lake County's continued participation in the Orlando/Orange Urban Areas Security Initiative (UASI);</li> </ol>	CARPENTER



	<p>and</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. To authorize the Chairman to execute the MOA; and</li> <li>3. To designate the Office of Emergency Management Director as the primary representative for Lake County to the UASI Working Group; and</li> <li>4. To authorize the County Manager to execute any future no-cost amendments or modifications to the MOA.</li> </ol> <p>There is no fiscal impact.</p>	
<p>APPROVED</p>	<p><b>Tab 13.</b> Request from Fire Rescue to recommend approval to advertise:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. An Ordinance amending Subsection 9.04.02, entitled Planning, Design and Construction, Lake County Code, Appendix E, Land Development Regulations, concerning public safety access to gated communities; and</li> <li>2. An Ordinance repealing Article VIII, Section 13-231 through Section 13-237, Lake County Code, entitled Bingo Regulations.</li> </ol> <p>Pursuant to Board Policy LCC-102, adopted on August 29, 2023, concurrent with the adoption of any new code requirement, the Board of County Commissioners may repeal an existing code requirement to further the Board's objective to ensure that newly adopted code provisions do not increase the overall regulatory impact on the residents and businesses within the unincorporated County.</p> <p>There is no fiscal impact.</p>	<p>CARPENTER</p>
<p>APPROVED</p>	<p><b>Tab 14.</b> Request from Housing and Community Services to recommend adoption of Unanticipated Revenue <b>Resolution 2024-66</b> adding \$127,023 to the Federal/State Grant Fund for Fiscal Year 2024 for the Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants.</p> <p>The estimated fiscal impact is \$127,023.00 (revenue/expenditure - 100 percent grant funded).</p>	<p>CHRISTIAN</p>
<p>APPROVED</p>	<p><b>Tab 15.</b> Request from Solid Waste to recommend approval:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Of Contracts 24-933A and 24-933B with National Storm Recovery, LLC d/b/a Central Florida Arborcare (Astatula, FL) and Consolidated Forest, LLC (Spring Hill, FL) to provide vegetative debris grinding services on an as-needed basis; and</li> <li>2. To authorize the Office of Procurement Services to execute all supporting documentation.</li> </ol> <p>The estimated fiscal impact is \$450,500 (expenditure). Annual expenditures will not exceed available funding in the Fiscal Year Budget. Commission District 3.</p>	<p>CHRISTIAN</p>

APPROVED	<p><b>Tab 16.</b> Request from Solid Waste to recommend approval:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Of Contracts 24-928A and 24-928B with Raynor Shine Services, LLC (Apopka, FL) and National Storm Recovery, LLC d/b/a Central Florida Arborcare (Astatula, FL) to provide vegetative debris transportation and disposal services on an as-needed basis; and</li> <li>2. To authorize the Office of Procurement Services to execute all supporting documentation.</li> </ol> <p>The estimated fiscal impact is \$285,000 (expenditure) and is within, and will not exceed, the Fiscal Year 2024 Budget. Annual expenditures will not exceed available funding in the fiscal year budget. Commission District 3.</p>	CHRISTIAN
APPROVED	<p><b>Tab 17.</b> Request from Solid Waste to recommend approval:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. To utilize the Florida Sheriffs Association contract with SBL Freightliner LLC for the purchase of a Grapple Truck for the Office of Solid Waste; and</li> <li>2. To authorize the Office of Procurement Services to execute all supporting documents.</li> </ol> <p>The fiscal impact is \$282,550 (expenditure) and is within, and will not exceed, the Fiscal Year 2024 Budget.</p>	CHRISTIAN
APPROVED	<p><b>Tab 18.</b> Request from Visit Lake to recommend approval:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. To provide Tourist Development Tax funding for host fees and related event expenses for the 2024 The Spring Games, Event, which runs from February 15 through March 28, 2024; and</li> <li>2. To authorize the Chairman to execute the agreement with The Spring Games.</li> </ol> <p>The fiscal impact is not to exceed \$125,000 (expenditure – TDT funding) and is within, and will not exceed, the Fiscal Year 2024 Budget. Commission Districts 2 &amp; 5.</p>	CHRISTIAN
APPROVED	<p><b>Tab 19.</b> Request from Facilities Management to recommend approval:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. To utilize Ambach Masonry Construction, Inc.(Sorrento, FL) for the restoration of the Historical Courthouse; and</li> <li>2. Of a budget transfer in the amount of \$337,631 from Facilities Repair/Maintenance to Buildings; and2. To authorize the Office of Procurement Services to execute all supporting documentation.</li> </ol> <p>The estimated fiscal impact is \$1,637,630.23 (\$1,300,000 (expenditure) - ARPA funded and \$337,630.23 (expenditure)). Commission District 3.</p>	SCHNEIDER
APPROVED	<p><b>Tab 20.</b> Request from Facilities Management to recommend approval:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Of Contract 24-910 with CF &amp; Brother Services Corp. (Hollywood, FL) for the HVAC renovation of Fire Station 14; and</li> </ol>	SCHNEIDER

	<p>2. Of a budget transfer in the amount of \$150,000 to reallocate funding from Facilities - Repair/Maintenance to Facilities - Buildings; and</p> <p>3. To authorize the Office of Procurement Services to execute all supporting documentation.</p> <p>The estimated fiscal impact is \$150,000 (expenditure). Commission District 4.</p>	
APPROVED	<p><b>Tab 22.</b> Request from Public Works to recommend approval of a Landscape Maintenance Agreement with Land Acquisitions, Inc. to allow shrubs, grasses and irrigation to be placed within the right-of-way on a small portion of Citrus Tower Boulevard.</p> <p>There is no fiscal impact. Commission District 2.</p>	SCHNEIDER
APPROVED	<p><b>Tab 23.</b> Request from Public Works to recommend adoption of <b>Resolution 2024-67</b> prohibiting parking in the Wedgewood Subdivision along Draw Drive at Alternate Key 3508840, in the Grand Island area.</p> <p>The estimated fiscal impact is \$200 (expenditure) and is within, and will not exceed, the Fiscal year 2024 Budget. Commission District 4.</p>	SCHNEIDER
APPROVED	<p><b>Tab 24.</b> Request from Public Works to recommend approval to accept public Right of Way and Easement Deeds that have been secured in conjunction with development, roadway, and stormwater projects.</p> <p>The fiscal impact is \$1,515 (expenditure – recording fees) and is within, and will not exceed, the Fiscal Year 2024 Budget.</p>	SCHNEIDER
APPROVED	<p><b>Tab 25.</b> Request from Public Works to recommend approval of Change Order #2 for the Citrus Grove Road Phase 5 Road Project. The contractor of record is Southern Development &amp; Construction, Inc.</p> <p>The estimated fiscal impact is \$113,071.79 (expenditure – South Transportation Road Impact Fees) and is within, and will not exceed, the Fiscal Year 2024 Budget. Commission District 2.</p>	SCHNEIDER
APPROVED	<p><b>Tab 26.</b> Request from Public Works to recommend adoption of <b>Resolution 2024-68</b> to install stop signs and all-way plaques on Morningstar Drive at Sunrise Vista Drive and on Sunrise Vista Drive/Cedarwood Way at Gold Star Court in the Clermont area.</p> <p>The estimated fiscal impact is \$200 (expenditure) and is within, and will not exceed, the Fiscal Year 2024 Budget. Commission District 1.</p>	SCHNEIDER

APPROVED	<p><b>Tab 28.</b> Request from Transit Services to recommend approval:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. To apply to the Florida Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged for the Shirley Conroy Rural Area Capital Assistance Grant for Fiscal Year 2025; and</li> <li>2. To adopt supporting <b>Resolution 2024-69</b>.</li> </ol> <p>The estimated fiscal impact is \$148,000 - \$133,200 (revenue/expenditure - 90 percent grant funded) and \$14,800 (expenditure - 10 percent match). If awarded, the fiscal impact will be included in the Fiscal Year 2025 Budget.</p>	SCHNEIDER
APPROVED	<p><b>Tab 29.</b> Request from Transit Services to recommend approval:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. To apply for the Florida Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged Innovative Service Development Grant for Fiscal Year 2024-2025; and</li> <li>2. Adopt supporting <b>Resolution 2024-70</b>.</li> </ol> <p>The estimated fiscal impact is \$182,021 - \$163,819 (revenue/expenditure - grant funded) and \$18,202 (expenditure - county match). If awarded and approved, the fiscal impact will be included in the Fiscal Year 2025 Budget.</p>	SCHNEIDER
APPROVED	<p><b>Tab 30.</b> Request from Transit Services to recommend approval:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. To apply for the Florida Department of Transportation’s Service Development Grant for Fiscal Year 2025-2028; and</li> <li>2. To adopt supporting <b>Resolution 2024-71</b> authorizing the signing and submission of the Grant Application with supporting documents.</li> </ol> <p>The estimated fiscal impact for Fiscal Years 2025-2028 is \$569,026 (Year 1 - Fiscal Year 2025-2026 \$91,842 grant funding/\$91,842 County Match, Year 2 Fiscal Year 2026-2027 \$94,798 grant funding/\$94,798 County Match, Year 3 Fiscal Year 2027-2028 \$97,873 grant funding/\$97,783 County Match).</p>	SCHNEIDER
APPROVED	<p><b>Tab 31.</b> Request from Transit Services to recommend approval:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. To accept the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Section 5311 Off Cycle Operating Grant Agreement; and</li> <li>2. To adopt Unanticipated Revenue <b>Resolution 2024-72</b> to accept and appropriate \$400,000 for the FDOT Section 5311 Off Cycle Operating Grant; and</li> <li>3. Of a budget transfer in the amount of \$400,000 to reallocate funds from Contractual Services to the project accounts associated with the FDOT Section 5311 Off Cycle Operating Grant Agreement.</li> </ol> <p>The estimated fiscal impact is \$800,000 - \$400,000 (revenue/expenditure - grant funded) and \$400,000 (expenditure - county match).</p>	SCHNEIDER
APPROVED	<p><b>Tab 32.</b> Request from Transit Services to recommend approval:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. To accept the Florida Department of Transportation’s (FDOT) Section</li> </ol>	SCHNEIDER

	<p>5310 Capital Public Transportation Grant Agreement (PTGA) Federal Fiscal Year 2021; and</p> <p>2. To adopt Unanticipated Revenue <b>Resolution 2024-73</b> to accept and appropriate \$486,310 for the FDOT Public Transit Capital Grant for Federal Fiscal Year 2021; and</p> <p>3. Of a budget transfer in the amount of \$108,070 to reallocate funds from Contractual Services to Machinery/Equipment and the Interfund Transfer account to the project accounts associated with the FDOT Public Transit 5310 Capital Grant Agreement; and</p> <p>4. To procure vehicles under the State’s bid list through FDOT’s Transit Research Inspection Procurement Services (TRIPS) program.</p> <p>The estimated fiscal impact is \$540,345 - \$486,310 (revenue/expenditure - grant funded) and \$54,035 (expenditure - county match).</p>	
APPROVED	<p><b>Tab 33.</b> Request from Transit Services to recommend approval:</p> <p>1. To accept the Florida Department of Transportation Section 5310 Capital Grant Program American Rescue Plan Grant Agreement for Capital Assistance for Federal Fiscal Year 2021; and</p> <p>2. To adopt Unanticipated Revenue <b>Resolution 2024-74</b> to accept and appropriate the \$277,707 from the Section 5310 Capital Grant Program American Rescue Plan Grant; and</p> <p>3. To Procure vehicles under the State’s bid list through the Transit Research Inspection Procurement Services (TRIPS) Program.</p> <p>The estimated fiscal impact is \$277,707 (revenue/expenditure – 100 percent grant funded).</p>	SCHNEIDER
APPROVED	<p><b>Tab 34.</b> Request from Transit Services to recommend approval:</p> <p>1. To apply for the Florida Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged Trip and Equipment Grant for Fiscal Year 2024-2025; and</p> <p>2. To adopt supporting <b>Resolution 2024-75</b>.</p> <p>The estimated fiscal impact is \$976,649 - \$879,085 (revenue/expenditure - grant funded) and \$97,564 (expenditure - county match). If awarded and approved, the fiscal impact will be included in the Fiscal Year 2025 Budget.</p>	SCHNEIDER
APPROVED	<p><b>Tab 35.</b> Request from Parks and Trails to recommend approval of a non-exclusive license agreement with the City of Umatilla (City) for water utility data collector equipment to be installed, operated, and maintained at North Lake Regional Park, at the City's sole cost and expense. There is no fiscal impact. Commission District 4.</p>	BONILLA
POSTPONED	<p><b>Tab 21.</b> Request from Public Works to recommend approval of a resolution to post “No Trucks over 10 Tons or Vehicles/Trailers Over 8 Feet Wide Local</p>	SCHNEIDER

	<p>Delivery Exempt” signs on Number Two Road in the Howey-in-the-Hills area.</p> <p>The fiscal impact is estimated to be \$400 (expenditure) and is within, and will not exceed, the Fiscal Year 2024 Budget. Commission District 3.</p>	
APPROVED	<p><b>Tab 27.</b> Request from Public Works to recommend approval:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To increase the estimated expenditure limits of Contract 21-0538 for Traffic Signal Maintenance and Repair with Traffic Control Devices, LLC (Altamonte Springs, FL) from an annual estimated expenditure of \$200,000 to \$1,060,000; and</li> <li>To authorize the Office of Procurement Services to execute all supporting documentation.</li> </ol> <p>The estimated annual fiscal impact is \$1,060,000 (expenditure) and will not exceed available funding in each Fiscal Year's Budget.</p> <p><b>The Board approved this item with additional language indicating that the first year would be up to \$1 million, which included the construction of the new signals, and that the ongoing maintenance would be up to \$200,000 for the life of the contract.</b></p>	SCHNEIDER
PRESENTATION	<p><b>Tab 36.</b> Presentation of a Conservation Strategy by the East Central Florida Regional Planning Council and Stetson University.</p>	STERN
APPROVED	<p><b>Tab 7.</b> Request from County Manager to recommend approval to advertise an Ordinance calling for a referendum to be held on November 5, 2024, seeking to renew funding for the Public Lands Acquisition Program and creating the Public Lands Acquisition Advisory Committee. There is no fiscal impact.</p> <p><b>The Board approved this item with the inclusion of the following changes: removing the word “active” from the ballot language; utilizing Option 1 ballot language; defining the uses based on the Board’s discussion that were in the ordinance; adding Commissioner Parks’ suggested language; adding the trail network language; and adding the instructions to the Lake County Supervisor of Elections.</b></p>	BARKER
APPROVED	<p><b>Tab 37. PUBLIC HEARING:</b>          Recommend adoption of <b>Resolution 2024-76</b> to vacate platted right of way located north of CR 450 and east of North Lake Regional Park. The closest municipality is the City of Umatilla.</p> <p>The fiscal impact is \$2,295 (revenue) and is within the Fiscal Year 2024 Budget. Commission District 4.</p>	SCHNEIDER

APPROVED	<p><b><u>Tab 38. PUBLIC HEARING:</u></b>          Recommend adoption of <b>Resolution 2024-77</b> to vacate platted right of way located east of CR 48 and north of Bella Vista Drive. The closest municipality is Howey-in-the-Hills.</p> <p>The fiscal impact is \$2,295 (revenue) and is within, and will not exceed, the Fiscal Year 2024 Budget. Commission District 3.</p>	SCHNEIDER
APPROVED	<p><b><u>Tab 39. PUBLIC HEARING:</u></b>          Recommend adoption of <b>Resolution 2024-78</b> to vacate platted right of way and tract located west of Good Hearth Boulevard and south of State Road 50. The closest municipality is the City of Clermont.</p> <p>The fiscal impact is \$2,295 (revenue) and is within the Fiscal Year 2024 Budget. Commission District 2.</p>	SCHNEIDER
APPROVED	<p><b><u>Tab 40. PUBLIC HEARING:</u></b>          Recommend adoption of <b>Resolution 2024-79</b> to vacate a portion of a drainage easement in the plat of Wekiva Pines Country Estates, located east of Wekiva Pines Boulevard. The closest municipality is the City of Mount Dora.</p> <p>The fiscal impact is \$2,295 (revenue) and is within, and will not exceed, the Fiscal Year 2024 Budget. Commission District 4.</p>	SCHNEIDER
APPROVED	<p><b><u>Tab 41. PUBLIC HEARING:</u></b>          Recommend approval to issue a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for the Office of Fire Rescue through June 18, 2026. There is no fiscal impact.</p>	CARPENTER
APPOINTMENTS/ APPROVED	<p><b><u>Tab 42.</u></b> Request approval to appoint members to the Children’s Services Council and applicable waivers, as follows:</p> <p><b>District 2</b>          Juana Delacruz (with conflict waiver) - To be reappointed and serve a term ending 5/14/2026.</p> <p><b>District 3</b>          Chris Boogar - To be reappointed and serve a term ending 5/14/2026.</p> <p><b>District 4</b>          Byron Edward Thompson (with conflict waiver) - To be reappointed and serve a term ending 5/14/2026.</p> <p>Commissioner Leslie Campione is the Board of County Commissioners'</p>	CAMPIONE

	(Board) liaison for the Children’s Services Council.	
DISCUSSION	<p><b>ADDENDUM Tab 43:</b> Discussion and direction on the expansion of the Mount Dora Northeast Community Redevelopment Area (CRA).</p> <p><b>The Board approved to present an interlocal agreement for revenue sharing to the Mount Dora City Council, and that if they did not agree to work with the County, then staff or Commissioner Campione would be authorized to oppose the CRA expansion as an alternative.</b></p>	CAMPIONE
FOR YOUR INFORMATION	Ms. Barker remarked that County Offices would be closed on Monday, May 27, 2024 in observance of Memorial Day, and she hoped that everyone would have a safe and happy holiday.	BARKER
FOR YOUR INFORMATION	Commr. Shields mentioned that he attended an East Central Florida Regional Planning Council (ECFRPC) meeting.	SHIELDS
FOR YOUR INFORMATION	Commr. Shields relayed that he attended a Four Corners meeting with Commissioners from the other three Counties, and expressed appreciation for the attendance of Ms. Jennifer Barker, County Manager, and staff.	SHIELDS
FOR YOUR INFORMATION	Commr. Parks stated that he would like to have an agenda item regarding the Ferndale RPA to decide whether or not to extend the ISBA, opining that even though there were many issues with ISBAs across the county, this was one that had protected the rural Ferndale area which was labeled in the Comp Plan. He asked that there be an item placed on the agenda to extend it, and related that there were no entitlements for subdivisions or property rights issues. He opined that there was much pressure for development, and that the City of Minneola was not interested in a JPA with the County, which would be an effective tool to work out some of these issues. He relayed that he had met with about 160 people in the Ferndale area who were supportive of extending the ISBA to equal 20 years, which would be another 13 year extension, and suggested that the Board could have a community meeting in the Ferndale area to answer some questions with staff, noting that they had some questions on road and solid waste issues. He mentioned that the meeting could be advertised so that any Commissioner could go to it, and noted that he would like to invite the Florida Wildlife Corridor Foundation and inform the residents on how to obtain wildlife corridor easements and agricultural easements.	PARKS
FOR YOUR INFORMATION	Commr. Campione stated that she would have a Wekiva River Basin Commission meeting on the following day.	CAMPIONE



FOR YOUR INFORMATION	Commr. Campione commented that she would be attending the Elder Affairs Coordinating Council meeting on the following Thursday.	CAMPIONE
FOR YOUR INFORMATION	Commr. Campione remarked that the first Homeless Mitigation Advisory Council meeting would be on the following Tuesday, and that she looked forward to reporting back about that.	CAMPIONE
FOR YOUR INFORMATION	Commr. Smith related that he attended the Kiwanis Club for Law Day, and opined that Mr. David Langley, Assistant County Attorney, gave a very nice presentation.	SMITH
FOR YOUR INFORMATION	Commr. Smith asked that residents would remember the reasons for Memorial Day, including honoring the service of veterans and the continuing service of active duty military personnel. He opined that this would not be the country it was on the current day without the military, and he explained how to handle the American Flag on Memorial Day.	SMITH
FOR YOUR INFORMATION	Commr. Smith said that it was National American Red Cross Founder's Day, and noted that it was founded in 1881 by Ms. Clara Barton.	SMITH